To deliver to the new consumer, must your old rivals become your best friends?

Collaborative Logistics
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We all know that the digital revolution is causing huge disruption in the consumer goods industry, spawning many new business models and surfacing many fascinating challenges and opportunities. Digital means more data, more information and more insights. But these in turn also allow more physical options to buy, produce, sell and deliver.

The consequences of the digital revolution for physical flows have perhaps not had as much attention as those for data flows but they could be equally far reaching. Supply and delivery networks are likely to become both denser and more varied.

What particularly interested us in the Consumer Goods Forum (CGF) was the scope for new forms of collaboration that this disruption in physical flows unlocks. We wanted to know how the industry could use digital to drive efficiencies across the value network, and how collaboration could help drive these efficiencies to new levels, benefiting both businesses and the consumer. That was the primary motivation behind this report.

To start with, we asked a few simple questions:

▪ What is driving the changes in physical flows in our industry today?
▪ How are companies responding to these fresh trends?
▪ How could your company get the most out of the emerging new portfolio of collaborative solutions?

The report builds on workshops led by EY in London and New York and we believe represents a very practical perspective on an industry in flux. We hope it provides inspiration for any business — big or small — looking to develop more efficient supply chains and value networks. It should help prepare you for the disruption ahead.

The CGF would like to express its gratitude to Matthew Burton and his team at EY, as well all the companies who were willing to share their experiences and best practices. This is a unique report, in the right place at the right time.

Enjoy.
Matthew Burton is a Partner in Ernst & Young's EMEIA Advisory Centre and leads Digital Operations across EMEIA. He is a trusted leader and innovator who specialises in delivering operational transformations underpinned by leading digital technologies and analytics.

Matthew has over 20 years' experience in supply chain and operations across both industry and consulting. He has delivered profitable growth and transformational outcomes on numerous supply chain and logistics projects in retail and consumer goods companies. In addition to retail and consumer goods Matthew has worked with clients in pharmaceuticals, chemicals, industrial products and private equity.

His deep experience includes end-to-end supply chain synchronization, omnichannel enablement, and inventory reduction and optimization.

EY works with many of the world’s leading consumer products and retail companies. We deploy our proprietary tools, methodologies and data to help them reinvent their supply chain, unlocking its full strategic value. We enable businesses to identify opportunities and implement solutions that will drive competitive advantage and create profitable, sustainable growth.

With more than 2,400 supply chain and operations professionals across 150 countries, EY is a truly global organization. This connectivity, including alliances with businesses such as Procter & Gamble, means we combine deep operational consulting capability with industry-specific leading practices.
Companies across a range of industries are unlocking new sources of growth by collaborating with businesses they might normally think of as rivals. Some in the consumer products sector do this today, but not at significant scale. With new pressures and forces requiring the industry to rethink routes to market, we believe now is the time for companies to form new, collaborative relationships; pooling assets and expertise for joint success.

Collaboration is not a new concept for the industry, but it has never really gained traction. Consumer goods manufacturers and retailers have been working together for years – there are many industry examples. But such projects have tended to remain small. Two barriers have hampered wider collaboration: competition rules can make it difficult for competitors to work together; companies have struggled to find the right strategy and/or business model to support successful collaboration at scale.

Today, numerous market trends are converging in ways that create the opportunity – the imperative, even – for companies in the industry to take a fresh look at the possibilities for collaboration, specifically in the area of collaborative logistics. In our report, we’ve focused on five key trends that are putting growing pressure on retailers and manufacturers – both on their supply chains and on the underlying economics of delivering goods to the consumer:

1. **Urbanization will exacerbate traffic congestion, making deliveries more challenging and costly.** Estimates suggest that 70% of the world’s population will move to an urban area by 2050, compared to 54% today. Most of this population shift will occur in emerging markets, which typically lack the infrastructure needed to avoid urban gridlock. Urban areas in mature markets will also experience severe traffic congestion. **Estimates suggest the economic cost of congestion will grow 40% to 50% by 2030.**

2. **Environmental regulation will get tougher and more expensive.** Regulatory fees and transport tolls are on the rise globally. Some estimates suggest they will account for 15% to 25% of total transportation costs by 2030, compared to 10% today. Local cities will have low or even zero emission regulations, requiring alternative fuel vehicles.

3. **Transport will become even more inefficient,** as truck fill continues to shrink and customers order smaller shipments. Today, an average truck-trailer runs at less than 60% full, according to the U.S. Department of Transportation. In at least one out of every four or five trips the trailer is completely empty. This means that overall efficiency rates are no greater than 50%.

4. **Transport costs will continue to increase.** The higher cost of taxes, fuel, equipment and driver wages will push **transport operating cost up by an estimated 4% to 6% every year.** With fewer people choosing to become truck drivers, the increasing age and decreasing availability of drivers will be a key concern.

5. **Omni-channel consumers have growing expectations.** E-commerce is likely to reach more than 20% of retail sales, driving increased demand for home delivery, which is likely to become more expensive as roads clog with traffic. Studies show that home delivery already costs five times as much as “click and collect” from the store.

Finding the right response to these five trends would be difficult enough, but it’s made even harder by one defining feature of supply chains in this industry: the delivery infrastructure was designed to deliver big brown boxes to big-box retail outlets. The shift away from traditional to modern trade, omni-channel click-and-collect and home delivery is fragmenting volume and making the final link in the logistics chain increasingly inefficient.
What does collaborative logistics look like?

We are seeing multiple emerging solutions to the logistics challenges facing the industry. Companies are trying to make greater use of data analytics to make their supply chains more agile. Emerging “on-demand” services, inspired by the disruptive examples of Uber and Airbnb, could give companies new ways to manage freight and warehousing. Automation and robotics offer the prospect of more efficient fulfillment. And driverless vehicles and drones suggest new ways to deliver products to their final destination. But many of these innovations are years away from mass adoption and must overcome major obstacles first.

By contrast, greater collaboration offers the prospect of much faster benefits. This is particularly true for rural delivery and urban delivery in developed markets. Based on our research, working sessions and interviews with retailers and manufacturers, we have identified several collaborative solutions that are emerging today. Here are three models that show great promise:

1. **City/market retail and logistics consolidation centers.**
   Here, multiple retailers and manufacturers work together to share distribution, transportation and inventory assets in ways that lead to more effective order fulfillment and better last-mile execution. This model can optimize overheads and inventory while maximizing the frequency of deliveries and the utilization of vehicles. The partners can cut cost and environmental impact by 25% to 50%.

2. **Manufacturer logistics hubs.**
   Multiple manufacturers share their distribution and transportation assets. There are already many proven case studies and examples of this model in action. The significant benefits include an 8% to 10% cut in miles, 30% less retail inventory, lower carbon emissions and lower network costs.

3. **Improved data quality/visibility.**
   In this model, companies across a retail and manufacturing network collaborate to make inventory more visible and to improve the quality of data through the supply chain. This leads to better decision making in multiple areas. It also helps to remove unnecessary handling and transport movements because it reduces the large, wasted inventory buffers that retailers and manufacturers carry.

Is collaborative logistics the express route to value?

Today, in many big cities, companies make so many deliveries so close together that there is no urgent need to change the last few links in the supply chain. But this will not be the case for much longer. The five trends mentioned prior will lead to fragmented delivery volumes, increased congestion and tougher regulation. Companies will not only need to collaborate with each other — they will need to work closely with regulators, governments and city planners to influence regulations and develop pragmatic solutions. The cost and inefficiency issues will become more acute for rural deliveries too — companies that look for smarter, collaborative solutions for rural delivery can deliver savings now that scale for the future.
Emerging consumer goods and retail logistics challenges

Urbanization
Urbanization, growth of megacities and resulting congestion are making logistics execution ever more challenging.

70% of the world population is projected to live in urban areas by 2050.1

The rapid population shift to urban areas in emerging markets will only add to current congestion challenges.

In the 35 years up to 2012, the Chinese city population rose from 17.9% to 52.6%.2

The bulk of migration to urban areas is expected to occur in emerging markets

By 2050, the majority of countries with more than 75% urbanization will be in Asia and Africa

“An urban world” – UNICEF Countries and territories with urban populations exceeding 100,000 in 2050

Circles scaled to urban population, size color reflects % of people living in cities and towns

Studies have shown that excessive levels of congestion will significantly impact operating costs in urban areas.

By 2050, the per capita commuting delay is expected to double to more than **100 hours** annually.¹

Freight miles traveled across the US, the UK, France and Germany are expected to increase by **14%** between 2013 and 2030.²

### Congestion impact to logistics operations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Delays</th>
<th>Average driving speeds¹</th>
<th>Average taxi speed³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>London</td>
<td>11.8mph</td>
<td>9.3mph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berlin</td>
<td>15mph</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warsaw</td>
<td>16.1mph</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manhattan, New York</td>
<td>16.1mph</td>
<td>9.3mph</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the US alone, drivers burn **2.9b** gallons annually sitting in traffic.³

Idling time emissions are expected to increase by **16%** between 2013 and 2030.²

Annual congestion costs are projected to increase between 2013 and 2030 by:²
- United States: **44%**
- United Kingdom: **58%**
- Germany: **37%**
- France: **29%**

¹ Source: EY, Urban Mobility Blueprint.
² Source: Cebr, The future economic and environmental costs of gridlock in 2030.
³ Source: Europe's Most Congested Cities.
The annual cost* of congestion is expected to reach US$106.6b by 2030, a 42% increase since 2013, for four advanced economies.

  - 2013: US$45.6b
  - 2030: US$65.5b (+44%)

  - 2013: US$7.9b
  - 2030: US$12.5b (+58%)

  - 2013: US$11.8b
  - 2030: US$16.1b (+37%)

  - 2013: US$9.6b
  - 2030: US$12.4b (+29%)

* This represents the increased cost of doing business in congested conditions (e.g., it is more costlier to transport goods in and out of a congested area).

1 Source: Cebr. The future economic and environmental costs of gridlock in 2030.
Emerging consumer goods and retail logistics challenges

Environmental and regulatory trends
BRIC countries could adopt world-class vehicle emissions standards for heavy-duty vehicles within the next several years.  
China is expected to implement a mandatory cap-and-trade system after the EU.

Europe
- The European Commission’s Roadmap to a Single European Transport Area.
- Achieve a 60% reduction in carbon dioxide emissions by 2050.
- Phase out conventionally fueled vehicles by 2050.
- 30% of road freight over 300 km should shift to other modes (e.g., rail, water) by 2030.
- Euro VI is the latest regulation on emissions standards.
- The EU is set to implement a mandatory cap-and-trade system.
- Congestion charges are already in effect in several major EU cities, while others consider the role of access restrictions.

Africa
- There are no significant environmental regulatory developments in this region.

Australia and New Zealand
- Cap-and-trade programs are already in place.

North America
- Cap-and-trade program is in place in the US.
- The US is expected to implement a mandatory cap-and-trade system after EU.
- The US and Canada have adopted greenhouse gas or efficiency standards for heavy-duty vehicles.

Other
- Cap-and-trade program is in place in South Korea.
- Japan has adopted world-class greenhouse gas or efficiency standards for heavy-duty vehicles.
- The 2015 United Nations Climate Change Conference seeks to build a universal and legally binding agreement to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.
- G20 nations have individual plans to tighten vehicle emissions standards.
- Many urban areas are expected to have zero emission rules by 2030.

Source: EY. Economics of Carbon.
Source: Roadmap to a Single European Transport Area – Towards a competitive and resource efficient transport system.
Source: Sustainable Innovation Forum (SIF15)
Source: Roland Berger. Truck Transportation 2030.
There is an upward trend in toll systems, congestion charges and road regulation, with charges expected to increase from 10% to 15%-20% of transportation cost by 2030.

Congestion and environmental concerns will drive more restrictive regulation, leading CPG manufacturers and retailers to rethink their logistics models and networks. Congestion charges are becoming a more viable option, especially in Europe.

Regulatory fees and tolls are expected to increase from 10% of total transportation costs in 2012 to around 15%-25% by 2030.

---

1 Source: Melinda Jászberényi (Corvinus University of Budapest) and András Munkácsy (Institute of Transport Sciences, Budapest). The Introduction of the Congestion Charge.
2 Source: San Francisco Chronicle. S.F. May Hit Drivers with Variety of Tolls.
4 Source: Corriere della Sera. Area C, Torna il Ticket anti Traffico da 5 Euro.
5 Source: Express & Star. West Midlands Congestion Charge Plan Returns.
6 Source: Roland Berger. Truck Transportation 2030.
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Growing transportation inefficiencies and cost
Truck fill rates continue to fall, averaging less than 60%, driving greater transportation inefficiencies

Average truck-trailer loads are less than 60% full.¹

At least 20%-25% of trips are made with an empty trailer¹

The overall efficiency rate for freight carriers is no greater than 50%

On top of the low fill rates, transportation costs are expected to rise by 4%-6% annually for insurance, tax, fuel and driver wages.

Fuel and driver costs make up the lion’s share of transportation costs, but other factors can also have a dramatic impact on operating costs.

An uncertain future which is likely to increase driven by volatile fuel prices, increasing driver wages and various economic trends mentioned earlier.

Fuel price is forecasted to rise

- Long-term fuel price predictions are notoriously difficult to make due to high volatility (as shown in graph below the volatility from 2006 to 2015), especially as we have seen a collapse in prices by more than 50% over the last year.
- Current forecasted projections tell that oil prices will rise by c. 68% from US$52.5/barrel to US$88.3 per barrel by 2025 (see graph on page 20).

Shortage of drivers leads to higher wages

- In the UK, the FTA predicts a shortfall of 50,000-60,000 HGV drivers.
- Driver ageing is a key concern; data from FTA Transport Activity Survey 2015 showed over 70% of drivers are aged 45 years or older (see graphs on page 19).
- The shortfall is expected to be around 150,000 drivers by 2020, as the industry is not attracting enough new entrants.
- Therefore, in the UK, average increase in driver’s wage is estimated up to 5%.
- In the US, demand for freight is expected to increase as re-shoring of manufacturing picks up and the economy grows.
- 100%+ drivers turnover rate.

Other factors add to costs

- Standards and regulation, such as below from the US, increase the costs of running trucks:
  - EPA requirements to meet engine efficiency and reduce harmful emissions
  - Electronic on board recorder rules which increase costs and monitoring effort
  - With vehicles becoming more fuel efficient, governments may introduce new toll roads to offset the reduction in fuel tax revenues.
  - “We believe that this is an area where the states have to make their own decisions,” said Transportation Secretary Anthony Foxx. “We want to open the aperture, if you will, to allow more states to choose to make broader use of tolling, to have that option available.” – Washington Post May 2014
- Driver expenses will increase to cover the health insurance required by the Affordable Care Act.

---

1 Source: Understanding the threat of rising freight costs (2014 guide by Tranzact)
2 Source: DECC Fossil Fuel Price Projection – September 2014
4 Source: Logistics Collaboration and Physical Internet – Berkeley University of California 2014
5 Source: Skills for Logistics and the Chartered Institute for Logistics and Transport
7 Source: World Bank Commodity Forecast Price data, October 2015
Recognition that driver recruitment within the industry is a problem due to lack of work attractiveness

Problems in recruiting professional drivers
Drivers recruitment is now a major issue

Age profiles for professional drivers
OTAS January 2015

- 9% Over 60
- 28% Under 45
- 24% 55-60
- 39% 45-55

1 Source: FTA’s Quarterly Transport Activity Survey January 2015
Although we have seen a collapse in oil prices, it is forecasted to increase from US$52.5 per barrel to US$88.3 per barrel by 2025 (c. 68% increase)

---
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Omni-channel and e-commerce growth
As omni-channel deliveries continue to grow, the last mile cost will increase disproportionately

**Omni-channel growth**

**Home deliveries**
- Home deliveries can cost five times as much as click and collect.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Click and collect</th>
<th>Home delivery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Increased home deliveries**
- Equate to more returns and higher costs.

**Cost of returns**
- Could reach two to three times that of the outbound delivery.
- Returns for some categories could dilute the profit of a transaction by 35%.

**Consumer expectation for even shorter delivery windows will drive costs higher**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Store catchment</th>
<th>High-density area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time Window</td>
<td>1 hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doorstep Service</td>
<td>10 mins.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average DPR (drops/route)</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vans per Store</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivery cost per order</td>
<td>£5.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|                  | £3.0  |

+83%

1 Source: EY analysis of UK retailer

2 Launch Global E-Tailing 2025 DHL Workshop 2 – May 2014
Given the challenges experienced in the market, transportation costs increase 30%-70% by 2030

Transport costs are expected to rise 30%-70% by 2030 because of:

- Congestion charges
- Environmental and regulatory pressures
- E-commerce growth
- Transportation costs
  - Fuel prices
  - Driver costs
There are many emerging innovations that are threatening and helping logistics challenges; however, some of these may be many years away.

**Big data and the internet of things**

**Opportunity:** There is potential for attaining great insights into consumer expectations and behavior, and end-to-end visibility of inventories.

**Challenge:** Companies are still struggling with which data to capture and analyze, data quality and security.

---

**Disruptors**

- **Amazon**
  Companies like Amazon are introducing new delivery models to meet ever-increasing consumer expectation.

- **Uber for freight**
  Emergence of asset-free delivery models in lieu of traditional carriers.

- **3D printing**
  Companies are experimenting with 3D printing to position inventory closer to consumers.

---

**Automation**

- **Delivery drones**
- **Warehouse robotics**
- **Driverless vehicles**
- **“Starship” robot buggy**
- **Swiss Auto store**

---

**Collaborative models**

**Horizontal collaboration**

- **Manufacturers**
- **Retailers**

**Vertical collaboration**

- **Manufacturer**
- **Retailer**
- **End consumer**

*Many or 1:1*
Potential consumer goods and retail collaboration models
Example consumer goods company freight utilization data shows 20%-50% saving opportunities could be achieved

While most organizations “optimize” for freight movements, our study shows that there is still room for load fill improvement, which would lead to higher cost and greater empty miles.

Average vehicle utilization rate varies from c. 30%-90% in consumer goods companies, depending upon the company products, maturity, collaboration model and overall logistics strategy.

Where high vehicle utilization is realized, this often happens through running lengthy multi-drop delivery route.

Our general observations demonstrate that if company maximizes or doubles the vehicle load, it can save between c.20%-50% of cost per pallet or case.

Although it seems common sense, it is the most crucial and difficult outcome to achieve (providing supply chain complexity, customer expectations, competitiveness, environmental and regulatory changes).

☐ Shows indicative cost per pallet saving percentage from half truck to full truck load
To further test the collaboration benefits, we have short-listed three key collaboration opportunities

**Manufacturer logistics hub**

Multiple manufacturers or suppliers share warehouse and logistics. Optimizes overheads and inventory, and maximizes delivery frequency and vehicle utilization due to high product mix.

**City/market retail and logistics consolidation center**

Multiple retailers share DC in collaboration with suppliers for effective order fulfillment. Optimizes overheads and inventory, and improves delivery frequency and vehicle utilization.

**Independent collection point**

Allows flexibility for consumer and minimizes home deliveries; similar pattern observed in Locker- or Uber-based delivery; maximizes vehicle utilization.

* Could also include manufacturer logistics hub for slow movers
We have developed hypothesis-led scenarios to simulate the benefits of selected collaboration opportunities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collaboration scenarios</th>
<th>Nodes and stages</th>
<th>Collaboration opportunities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Manufacturer-to-retailer DC delivery through manufacturer logistics hub</td>
<td>Manufacturer → Warehouse → Retailer DC → Store → Consumer</td>
<td>Manufacturer logistics hub</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Manufacturer-to-store delivery through manufacturer logistics hub</td>
<td>Manufacturer → Warehouse → Retailer DC → Store → Consumer</td>
<td>Manufacturer logistics hub</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Manufacturer-to-store delivery through manufacturer logistics hub and market retail consolidation center</td>
<td>Manufacturer → Warehouse → Retailer DC → Store → Consumer</td>
<td>Manufacturer logistics hub</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Market retail consolidation center to store delivery</td>
<td>Manufacturer → Warehouse → Retailer DC → Store → Consumer</td>
<td>Market retail and logistics consolidation center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Manufacturer-to-consumer delivery through manufacturer logistics hub, market retail consolidation center and collection point</td>
<td>Manufacturer → Warehouse → Retailer DC → Store → Consumer</td>
<td>Manufacturer logistics hub</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Collaboration scenario benefits vs. challenges summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collaboration scenarios</th>
<th>Expected benefits*</th>
<th>Additional benefits</th>
<th>Challenges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1 Manufacturer-to-retailer DC delivery through manufacturer logistics hub | • c. 30% cost reduction  
• c. 56% Vehicle fill rate increase  
• Low CO₂ emissions | • Manufacturer logistics hub and market retail consolidation center OPEX, CAPEX, fleet and gain share  
• High delivery frequency and effective inventory management | • Strategic partnership based on shared values  
• Some competitive intelligence sharing  
• Location optimization and warehouse management |
| 2 Manufacturer-to-store delivery through manufacturer logistics hub | • c. 23% cost reduction  
• c. 50% Vehicle fill rate increase  
• Low CO₂ emissions |  | • Loading and delivery prioritization - who gets what, where, when and how |
| 3 Manufacturer-to-store delivery through manufacturer logistics hub, and market retail consolidation center | • c. 39% cost reduction  
• c. 61% Vehicle fill rate increase  
• Low CO₂ emissions |  | • Cost/investment and gain sharing  
• High transparency  
• Consumer comfort and satisfaction  
• High flexibility  
• Shared assets or asset free based delivery  
• Synergies for the return process  
• Collaborative warehouse model could be applied just for slow movers in a low-cost location  
• Collaboration models could be applied to rural areas as well as highly populated inter-city areas  
| 4 Market retail consolidation center to store delivery | • c. 54% cost reduction  
• c. 72% Vehicle fill rate increase  
• Low CO₂ emissions |  | • Payment criteria and customer security (i.e., cash payments in emerging markets)  
• Reliant on asset share or outsourcing  
• Loss of consumer intimacy and differentiation |
| 5 Manufacturer-to-consumer delivery through manufacturer logistics hub, market retail consolidation center and collection point | • c. 40% cost reduction  
• c. 49% Vehicle fill rate increase  
• Low CO₂ emissions |  |  |

* All benefits are indicated based on hypothesis-led scenarios. Cost per pallet is used as standard to demonstrate reductions. However, for consumer delivery, please read this as cost per case or box.
Manufacturer-to-retailer DC delivery through a manufacturer logistics hub can save about 30% of cost per pallet

**Comments**

- With actual client data, we have found that collaboration of two drinks manufacturers by merging ship “From” and “To” locations for delivery to shared customers could save up to c. 25% on cost per pallet.
- Manufacturer logistics hub operational savings are excluded, which is additional saving opportunity (in terms of OPEX and CAPEX sharing expenditure).
- This model could also be applied with just manufacturer logistics hub for slow movers in a low-cost location.
Manufacturer-to-store deliveries through manufacturer logistics hub can save about 23% of cost per pallet

**Comments**

- Keeping the same individual retailer DC-to-store delivery model have decreased the saving estimated up until manufacturer logistics hub by 7% (from 30% to 23%).
- However, if retailer DCs are consolidated in the market retail consolidation center, then significant savings could be made.
Just with market retail consolidation center, saving from manufacture-to-store deliveries increases to 39% (by 16%)

**Comments**
- Market retail consolidation center maximized the milkround opportunity for multiple retailer stores delivery.
- Cost may vary depending on the number of suppliers and retailer consolidating, and the number of stores in proximity of the milkround radius.
Only market retail consolidation center to store deliveries through milkround can save cost per pallet around 54%

**Comments**
- Market retail consolidation center operational savings are excluded, which is additional saving opportunity (in terms of OPEX and CAPEX sharing expenditure).
Manufacturer-to-consumer (end-to-end) collaboration including collection points can save about 40% of costs per pallet.

**Before**
- Manufacturer: 92% utilization
- Warehouse: 50% utilization
- Retailer DC: 58% utilization
- Store: 58% utilization
- Consumer: 2.5 miles per drop

**After**
- Manufacturer: 100% utilization
- Warehouse: 100% utilization
- Retailer DC: 100% utilization
- Store: 65% utilization
- Consumer: 2.5 miles per drop

**Comments**
- Manufacturer logistics hub and market retail consolidation center operational savings are excluded, which is additional saving opportunity (in terms of OPEX and CAPEX sharing expenditure).
Collection point model at the last leg from store to consumer delivery can save about 37% of cost per case or box.

Comments

- Collection point investment is excluded, there are multiple opportunities attached to it, e.g., shared collection point, outsource collection (which can include lockers pickup or Uber-type deliveries), use existing local shops or post office.
Anticipated transportation cost could be offset by exploiting various collaboration models to get saving around 20%-50%

Transport costs are expected to rise 30%-70% by 2030.

1. Horizontal/vertical partner collaboration through truck share and backhauling will get around 20% cost saving.
2. Collaboration through manufacturer logistics hub will save around 30%.
3. End-to-end network collaboration through manufacturer logistics hub, market retail consolidation centre and collection point can save up to 50%.
Assumptions, footnotes and references
## Hypothesis-led approach and assumptions

### Approach
- Two simulations of each scenario are created to demonstrate current and future state (i.e., before and after collaboration, respectively).
- Scenarios are modeled end-to-end from manufacturer to consumer, to directionally demonstrate the potential of collaboration and related benefits.

### Assumptions
- For simulation, number of logistics-oriented variables are assumed to give logical sense in terms of distance, time, speed, load, percentage utilization, drops, and driver and vehicle costs (includes congestion factor as well).
- Twenty-six pallets assumed for a full vehicle capacity and remain constant throughout the scenarios. Product and vehicle configurations are also considered constant in all scenarios.
- Assumptions made for average driver's income per hour (c. £14) and vehicle cost per mile – including fuel, maintenance, repair, insurance (c. 60 pence). Both remain constant before and after collaboration in all scenarios.
- For simplicity, all facilities and physical distributions are assumed in one country or geography, and road as mode of transportation.
- Given the lack of robust infrastructure, integrated technology, uncertain economic profile and fragmented supply chain, emerging markets assumptions are excluded from each scenario.
- Therefore, assumptions are made on the basis of developed markets for urban areas to leverage opportunities due to high maturity. However, same assumptions should be applicable to emerging markets, if the core infrastructure, IT and supply chain-related complexity is sorted, which should be the first priority.
- Delivery frequencies are not considered by assuming each delivery in any given time for both before and after collaboration scenarios. Less-than-full truck load delivery has more frequency to fulfill demand than full truck load; however, after collaboration, same delivery frequency can be achieved with full mixed truck but fewer runs.

### Notes
- The cost of serving an individual pallet depends on the size of the delivery, number of drops, vehicle utilization, distance, and time the delivery is from its depot and from other deliveries.
- Cost per pallet is used as a standard to demonstrate savings; however, for consumer delivery, please read this as cost per case or box.
- Rural trend should be similar with c.10%-20% incremental cost versus urban area, mainly due to long distance transportation and low availability of skilled drivers.
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