

# Colgate-Palmolive

**Coalition member since 2020** | As of September 2022, palm oil, direct soy, embedded soy, and paper, pulp, and fibre-based packaging (PPP), and beef are material commodities for Colgate-Palmolive.

The following table includes a list of each Key Performance Indicator (KPI) for each material commodity, along with the company's 2021 and 2022 reporting record. The record includes the following responses:

- “Yes” indicates a company is reporting against a KPI and reporting quantitatively where indicated
- “Yes – narrative reporting” indicates a company is reporting qualitatively against a quantitative KPI
- “Not reporting yet” response indicates a company is not reporting on a KPI
- “N/A” indicates a KPI was not established in a given year

Companies have also provided information on their performance against appropriate KPIs, along with methodologies and targets. This information has been self-reported by companies on their public websites and reports, which was later reviewed by Proforest.

Links are provided to information when a company is reporting (“Yes”) against a public information requirement, and when a company is reporting qualitatively (“Yes – narrative reporting”) against a quantitative KPI.

For a full methodology on the Forest Positive Coalition's reporting process, including a list of all KPIs and public information requirements, visit [transparency.tcgfforestpositive.com](https://transparency.tcgfforestpositive.com).

KPI	2021 Reporting Record & Performance	2022 Reporting Record & Performance	Methodology	Target (Optional)
<b>Palm Oil</b>				
<b>Element 1 (Own Supply) Key Performance Indicators for Manufacturers</b>				
1.1 Policy commitments to the forest positive (or NDPE) goals	Yes	<a href="#">Yes</a>		
1.2 Timebound action plan summary	Yes	<a href="#">Yes</a>		
1.3 Mill list using the conventions of the Universal Mill List to make group links	Yes	<a href="#">Yes</a>		
1.4 Progress of mills toward forest positive (or NDPE)	Yes – narrative reporting	<a href="#">Yes – narrative reporting</a>	<i>Methodology not available</i>	
1.5 Percentage traceable to mill	Yes	Yes	TTM supported by Earthworm traceability TTD with all PO / PKO suppliers	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>99% for PO</li> <li>98% for PKO</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>99% for PO</li> <li>99% for PKO</li> </ul>		
1.6 Percentage traceable to FFB sources	Yes	Yes	TTP supported by Earthworm TDD with all PO / PKO suppliers. Combined average for PO and PKO volumes	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>63% for PO</li> <li>71% for PKO</li> </ul>	64% (60% for PO and 65% for PKO)		
1.7 Percentage physically certified (MB/SG)	Yes	Yes	All PO / PKO volumes are purchased as RSPO MB volumes	
	100%	100%		
<b>Element 2 (Supplier and Traders) Key Performance Indicators for Manufacturers</b>				
2.1 Direct supplier list	Yes	<a href="#">Yes</a>		
2.2 Percentage of direct suppliers engaged and informed of the Forest Positive Suppliers' Commitment and Forest Positive Approach	Yes – narrative reporting	Yes	All PO / PKO suppliers engaged in EPI process conducted with Earthworm and modified to capture communication of and alignment with the FPC expectations	
		100%		

KPI	2021 Reporting Record & Performance	2022 Reporting Record & Performance	Methodology	Target (Optional)
2.3 Performance of direct suppliers against the elements of the Forest Positive Approach and changes over time including progress on delivery across entire palm oil business	Yes – narrative reporting	Yes	Supplier Progress on EPI Sections: average scores (2021 and change/progress), all suppliers combined; also number of suppliers per performance level (high/medium/low)	
		<a href="#">See table on page 6</a>		
<b>Element 3 (Monitoring and Response) Key Performance Indicators for Manufacturers</b>				
3.1 Summary of company grievance process that aligns with Coalition Deforestation Monitoring and Response Framework (MRF)	Not reporting yet	<a href="#">Yes</a>		
3.2 Summary of progress of grievance cases [e.g. in grievance log or relevant progress report(s)]	N/A	Not reporting yet		
3.3 Coverage of deforestation and peat monitoring: percentage of supply base covered by deforestation and peat monitoring (including supplier and landscape monitoring systems) aligned with Monitoring Minimum Requirements	N/A	Yes	Based on spatial monitoring of mills in supply chain covered by Colgate and suppliers including landscape programs	
		<i>90% global mills under monitoring / approximately 50% of global volume</i>		

KPI	2021 Reporting Record & Performance	2022 Reporting Record & Performance	Methodology	Target (Optional)
3.4 Coverage of deforestation and peat monitoring: For Coalition member companies involved, narrative summary of support provided to develop on the ground monitoring and response systems beyond own supply chains including landscape initiatives and sectoral collaborations	N/A	<a href="#">Yes</a>		
3.5 Progress towards tackling deforestation and peat grievances: Percentage of supply mills with, or potentially linked to, deforestation and peat grievances	N/A	Yes	This calculation was based on the number of mills supplying PO/PKO with confirmed or suspected links to a deforestation or peat development identified through spatial monitoring of Colgate-Palmolive's supply chain or by way of grievance cases raised by third parties	
		<i>For the first quarter of 2022, 47 mills (&lt;4% of Colgate's global mills) in Colgate's palm oil supply chain were potentially associated with new deforestation and peat development grievances</i>		
3.6 Progress towards tackling deforestation and peat grievances: Percentage of deforestation and peat grievances where action taken in line with MRF steps and requirements	N/A	<a href="#">Yes – narrative reporting</a>	Utilisation of MRF step and requirements	
		<i>For the first quarter of 2022, we responded to three deforestation and peat grievance cases utilizing the MRF steps and requirements</i>		
<b>Palm Oil Derivatives</b>				
<b>Element 1 (Own Supply) Key Performance Indicators for Manufacturers</b>				
1.1 Policy commitments to the forest positive (or NDPE) goals	Yes	<a href="#">Yes</a>		

KPI	2021 Reporting Record & Performance	2022 Reporting Record & Performance	Methodology	Target (Optional)
1.2 Timebound action plan summary	Yes	<a href="#">Yes</a>		
1.7 Percentage physically certified (MB/SG)	Yes	Yes	Via purchase of RSPO MB derivative volumes and small volume of B&C credits	
	32% (plus 30% through book & claim)	51% (plus 19% through book & claim)		
<b>Element 2 (Supplier and Traders) Key Performance Indicators for Manufacturers</b>				
2.1 Direct supplier list	Not reporting yet	<a href="#">Yes</a>		
2.2 Percentage of direct suppliers engaged and informed of the Forest Positive Suppliers' Commitment and Forest Positive Approach	Not reporting yet	Yes	Methodology not available	
		Our top 20 derivative suppliers which represent 93% of our derivatives volume		
2.3 Performance of direct suppliers against the elements of the Forest Positive Approach and changes over time including progress on delivery across entire palm oil business	Not reporting yet	Yes	Methodology not available	
		<a href="#">See table on page 8</a>		
2.4 List of identified major upstream suppliers/traders prioritized	Not reporting yet	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Methodology not available	
2.5 Upstream suppliers/traders prioritised, engaged (directly or via a collective approach) and informed of the Forest Positive Approach	Not reporting yet	<a href="#">Yes – narrative reporting</a>	Methodology not available	

KPI	2021 Reporting Record & Performance	2022 Reporting Record & Performance	Methodology	Target (Optional)
2.6 Performance of upstream suppliers/traders against the elements of the Forest Positive Approach and changes over time including progress on delivery across entire palm oil business	Not reporting yet	Not reporting yet	<i>Methodology not available</i>	
<b>Element 3 (Monitoring and Response) Key Performance Indicators for Manufacturers</b>				
3.8 Narrative summary of progress made towards reporting on Element 3 in 2023	N/A	<a href="#">Yes</a>		
<b>Direct Soy</b>				
<b>Element 1 (Own Supply) Key Performance Indicators for Manufacturers</b>				
1.1 Policy commitments to the forest positive goals	Yes	<a href="#">Yes</a>		
1.2 Timebound action plan summary	Yes	Not reporting yet		
1.3 Percentage with known origin	Yes	Yes	Supported by Earthworm - deployed TDD survey with Tier 1 suppliers	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>100% to country of origin</li> <li>Traced back the 1st aggregator or crusher at origin for 34% of our total direct soy footprint</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>100% to country</li> <li>24.3% of global volumes are traceable to biome presence in high-risk countries</li> </ul>		
1.4 Percentage from high-risk origins or unknown origins	Yes – narrative reporting	Yes	<i>Methodology not available</i>	
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>34.5% from high-risk countries</li> <li>0% unknown</li> </ul>		

KPI	2021 Reporting Record & Performance	2022 Reporting Record & Performance	Methodology	Target (Optional)
1.5 Progress on ensuring soy is conversion-free for high-risk origins	Yes – narrative reporting	Yes	Methodology not available	
		<i>To mitigate sourcing risk from high-risk geographies, Colgate sources 100% of our direct soybean meal and oil from South America as certified sustainable soy under the Proterra Certification standard</i>		
1.6 Percentage DCF supply from high-risk areas	Not reporting yet	Not reporting yet	Methodology not available	
<b>Element 2 (Suppliers/Traders) Key Performance Indicators for Manufacturers</b>				
2.1 Direct supplier list	Not reporting yet	<a href="#">Yes</a>		
2.2 Summary of Forest Positive Approach for suppliers and traders	Yes	<a href="#">Yes</a>		
2.3 Percentage of Tier 1 suppliers to whom the Forest Positive Approach and its implementation have been communicated	Yes – narrative reporting	Yes	Conducted webinars and surveys with suppliers to measure alignment with FPC Approach	
		<i>Direct soy and soy derivative suppliers representing 95% of Colgate's direct soy volumes</i>		
2.4 Performance of Tier 1 suppliers against Forest Positive Approach including progress on delivery across entire operations	Not reporting yet	Yes ( <a href="#">click here</a> – see table under “Working with our suppliers” section)	Methodology not available	
<b>Embedded Soy</b>				
<b>Element 1 (Own Supply) Key Performance Indicators for Manufacturers</b>				
1.1 Policy commitments to the forest positive goals	Yes	<a href="#">Yes</a>		
1.2 Timebound action plan summary	Not reporting yet	Not reporting yet		

KPI	2021 Reporting Record & Performance	2022 Reporting Record & Performance	Methodology	Target (Optional)
1.3 Percentage with known origin - Report on key elements of the supply chain including: soy footprint and known upstream actors	Not reporting yet	Not reporting yet	<i>Methodology not available</i>	
1.4 Percentage from high-risk origins or unknown origins	Not reporting yet	Not reporting yet	<i>Methodology not available</i>	
1.5 Progress on ensuring soy is conversion-free for high-risk origins	Not reporting yet	Not reporting yet	<i>Methodology not available</i>	
1.6 Percentage DCF supply from high-risk areas	Not reporting yet	Not reporting yet	<i>Methodology not available</i>	
1.7 Soy footprint across all product categories	Yes	Yes	See KPI 1.8	
		<i>Approximately 151,000 metric tonnes</i>		
1.8 Methodology for soy footprint calculation	Yes	Yes		
<b>Element 2 (Suppliers and Traders) Key Performance Indicators for Manufacturers</b>				
2.2 Summary of Forest Positive Approach for suppliers and traders	Not reporting yet	Yes		
2.3 Percentage of Tier 1 suppliers to whom the Forest Positive Approach and its implementation have been communicated	Not reporting yet	Yes	<i>Methodology not available</i>	
		<i>During 2021, we conducted an initial engagement on policy implementation with one of Colgate's largest suppliers of poultry-based materials, representing approximately 20% of our embedded soy footprint</i>		



KPI	2021 Reporting Record & Performance	2022 Reporting Record & Performance	Methodology	Target (Optional)
2.4 Performance of Tier 1 suppliers against Forest Positive Approach including progress on delivery across entire operations	N/A	Not reporting yet	<i>Methodology not available</i>	
2.5 List of identified major upstream suppliers	Not reporting yet	Not reporting yet	<i>Methodology not available</i>	
2.6 Upstream suppliers/traders sourcing from high-risk origins that have been engaged (directly or via collective approach) and are being evaluated	Not reporting yet	Not reporting yet	<i>Methodology not available</i>	
2.7 Performance of upstream suppliers/traders against the elements of the Forest Positive Approach including progress on delivery across entire soy business	Not reporting yet	Not reporting yet	<i>Methodology not available</i>	
<b>Paper, Pulp, and Fibre-based Packaging (PPP)</b>				
<b>Element 1 (Own Supply) Key Performance Indicators for Manufacturers and Retailers</b>				
1.1 PPP Sourcing Policy including commitment to the forest positive goals	Yes	<a href="#">Yes</a>		
1.2 Timebound action plan summary	Yes	<a href="#">Yes</a>		
1.3 Percentage recycled, percentage virgin fibre	Yes	Yes	Internal calculation method based on recycled content at the material level. This is a publicly reported KPI as part of our annual sustainability report	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Recycled fibre: 72%</li> <li>Virgin fibre: 28%</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Recycled fibre: 84%</li> <li>Virgin fibre: 16%</li> </ul>		

KPI	2021 Reporting Record & Performance	2022 Reporting Record & Performance	Methodology	Target (Optional)
1.4 Percentage of virgin supply certified, and percentage per scheme and chain of custody model	Yes – narrative reporting	<a href="#">Yes – narrative reporting</a>	<i>Methodology not available</i>	
		<i>Approximately 38% of the volume is certified supporting verified sustainable volumes</i>		
1.5 Percentage of supply from high-priority sources	Yes	Yes	This is based on our annual pulp and paper packaging analysis conducted with Rainforest Alliance. This number includes non-recycled or uncertified materials coming from high-risk or controversial sources	
1.6 Actions being taken for supply from high-priority sources	Yes	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Our criteria for compliance with our sourcing policy is for all volumes to be either certified (FSC certification preferred), verified 100% recycled content or only sourced from low-risk countries / regions	
	<i>Approximately 81% of our current sourced volume conforms to the requirements of our pulp and paper sourcing policy</i>	<i>Approximately 89% of our current sourced volume conforms to the requirements of our pulp and paper sourcing policy</i>		
<b>Element 2 (Suppliers and Traders) Key Performance Indicators for Manufacturers and Retailers</b>				
2.1 Proportion of suppliers informed about the Forest Positive Suppliers Approach	N/A	Yes	We conduct annual webinars and communications with 100% of our pulp and paper packaging suppliers and in 2021 included the Forest Positive Approach	
		100%		

KPI	2021 Reporting Record & Performance	2022 Reporting Record & Performance	Methodology	Target (Optional)
2.2 Number or proportion of suppliers identified as priority for engagement, and percentage engaged	N/A	Yes  <i>Suppliers representing 11% of volumes prioritised</i>	See KPI 1.5. This represents the supply identified as high risk for engagement. Suppliers considered to be high risk due to unknown sourcing origins or sourcing uncertified or non-recycled materials from high risk countries are prioritized for engagement and action plan development	
2.3 Performance of engaged suppliers and changes over time including progress on delivery across entire business	N/A	Not reporting yet	<i>Methodology not available</i>	
<b>Beef</b>				
<b>Element 1 (Own Supply) Key Performance Indicators for Manufacturers and Retailers</b>				
1.1 Policy commitments to the forest positive goals	N/A	Not reporting yet		
1.2 Timebound action plan summary	N/A	Not reporting yet		
1.3 Beef footprint across all product categories	N/A	Not reporting yet	<i>Methodology not available</i>	
1.4 Percentage with known origin	N/A	Not reporting yet	<i>Methodology not available</i>	
1.5 Progress on ensuring beef is free from deforestation, conversion and conflict for high-risk areas	N/A	Not reporting yet	<i>Methodology not available</i>	
<b>Element 2 (Suppliers and Traders) Key Performance Indicators for Manufacturers and Retailers</b>				
2.1 Direct supplier list of identified major upstream suppliers, up to slaughterhouse when possible	N/A	Not reporting yet	<i>Methodology not available</i>	

KPI	2021 Reporting Record & Performance	2022 Reporting Record & Performance	Methodology	Target (Optional)
2.2 A summary of the Forest Positive Approach for meatpackers and own brand manufacturers	N/A	Not reporting yet		
2.3 Tier 1 suppliers to whom the Forest Positive Approach and its implementation have been communicated	N/A	Not reporting yet	<i>Methodology not available</i>	
2.4 Performance of Tier 1 suppliers against Forest Positive Approach including progress on delivery across entire operations	N/A	Not reporting yet	<i>Methodology not available</i>	
2.5 Meatpackers sourcing from high-risk origins that have been engaged and are being evaluated	N/A	Not reporting yet	<i>Methodology not available</i>	
2.6 Performance of meatpackers against Forest Positive Approach including progress on delivery across entire operations	N/A	Not reporting yet	<i>Methodology not available</i>	

## Element 4 (Landscape Engagement) Key Performance Indicators | As of September 2022, Colgate-Palmolive is focusing its landscape engagement on palm oil.

KPI	2021 Reporting Record & Performance	2022 Reporting Record & Performance	Methodology	Target (Optional)
<b>Palm Oil</b>				
4.1 Priority production landscapes identified	Yes	<a href="#">Yes</a>	See KPI 4.2	
4.2 Methodology used to identify priority production landscapes	N/A	<a href="#">Yes</a>		
4.3 Number of landscape initiatives currently involved in	N/A	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Methodology not available	
		4 initiatives		
4.4 For each landscape initiative your company is currently engaged in, information on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Name, location, timeline and other partners involved</li> <li>• Report on type of engagement (e.g. disbursed financial support, in-kind support, capacity, preferential sourcing)</li> <li>• Specific actions or projects that are supported</li> <li>• How the actions intend to address systemic issues and contribute to</li> </ul>	N/A	<a href="#">Yes</a>		

<p>delivering forest positive goals (at least one of conservation, restoration, positive inclusion of farmers and communities, landscape level multi-stakeholder platforms or partnerships)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Linkages to shared landscape-level goals developed through multistakeholder processes</li> </ul>				
---	--	--	--	--