Jerónimo Martins

Coalition member since 2020 | As of September 2022, palm oil, soy, paper, pulp, and fibre-based packaging (PPP), and beef are material commodities for Jerónimo Martins.

The following table includes a list of each Key Performance Indicator (KPI) for each material commodity, along with the company's 2021 and 2022 reporting record. The record includes the following responses:

- "Yes" indicates a company is reporting against a KPI and reporting quantitively where indicated
- "Yes – narrative reporting" indicates a company is reporting qualitatively against a quantitative KPI
- "Not yet reporting" response indicates a company is not yet reporting on a KPI
- "N/A" indicates a KPI was not established in a given year

Companies have also provided information on their performance against appropriate KPIs, along with methodologies and targets. This information has been self-reported by companies and verified by Proforest.

Links are provided to information when a company is reporting ("Yes") against a public information requirement, and when a company is reporting qualitatively ("Yes – narrative reporting") against a quantitative KPI.

For a full methodology on the Forest Positive Coalition's reporting process, including a list of all KPIs and public information requirements, visit transparency.tcgfforestpositive.com.

KPI	2021 Reporting Record & Performance	2022 Reporting Record & Performance	Methodology	Target (Optional)
Palm Oil				
Element 1 (Own Supply) Key Performance Indicators for Retailers				
1.1 Policy commitments to the forest positive (or NDPE) goals	Yes	Yes		
1.2 Timebound action plan summary	Yes	Yes		
1.7 Percentage physically certified (MB/SG)	Yes *Volume (in %) of physically certified used in Own brand products: 84.1%* • *8.5% RSPO segregated* • *75.6% RSPO MB* *Plus 0.5% RSPO Book & Claim (smallholder)*	Yes • *97.7% for Portugal & Poland (77.7% MB, 20% segregated, <1% IP)* • *3.3% (MB) of palm oil of national origin in Colombia* • *20% (MB) of palm oil in Colombia with origin from other countries*	Considers total physically certified palm oil in Private Brand and perishable products compared to total palm oil present in these products.	
Element 2 (Supplier and Traders) Key Performance Indicators for Retail				
2.1 Direct supplier list	Not reporting yet	Not reporting yet		

KPI	2021 Reporting Record & Performance	2022 Reporting Record & Performance	Methodology	Target (Optional)
2.2 Percentage of direct suppliers engaged and informed of the Forest Positive Suppliers' Commitment and Forest Positive Approach	Yes – narrative reporting	Yes *80%*	In addition to engaging with 100% of our suppliers of Private Brand and perishable products containing palm oil to map its presence, existing certification systems and origins, at least to country level, we engaged suppliers that represent 80% of our palm oil footprint in tonnes. This interaction aimed to identify their main sources of supply, importers, and the refineries where palm oil is processed, as well as information requests on their deforestation policy and certification schemes while sharing our own commitments in fighting deforestation and conversion.	
2.3 Performance of direct suppliers against the elements of the Forest Positive Approach and changes over time including progress on delivery across entire palm oil business	Not reporting yet	Not reporting yet	*Methodology not available*	
2.4 List of identified major upstream suppliers/traders prioritised	Not reporting yet	Not reporting yet	*Methodology not available*	

KPI	2021 Reporting Record & Performance	2022 Reporting Record & Performance	Methodology	Target (Optional)
2.5 Upstream suppliers/traders prioritised, engaged (directly or via a collective approach) and informed of the Forest Positive Approach	Yes – narrative reporting	Yes *5 traders*	Number of upstream traders that have been engaged via collective approach (Palm Oil Transparency Coalition).	
2.6 Performance of upstream suppliers/traders against the elements of the Forest Positive Approach and changes over time including progress on delivery across entire palm oil business	Not reporting yet	Yes • *3 traders evaluated with good performance (>70%)* • *2 traders with moderate performance (30%-70%)*	Overall traders' performance in the Palm Oil Transparency Coalition assessment as evaluated by the FPC.	
Element 3 (Monitoring & Response) Key Performance Indicators for Retailers				
3.7 Narrative summary of progress made towards reporting on Element 3 in 2023	N/A	Yes *Note: Beside our engagement with our suppliers regarding certification, policies and origins, we have checked mill location against deforestation risk using Global Forest Watch*		
Soy				
Element 1 (Own Supply) Key Perforance Indicators for Retailers				
1.1 Policy commitments to the forest positive goals	Yes	Yes		
1.2 Timebound action plan summary	Yes	Yes		

KPI	2021 Reporting Record & Performance	2022 Reporting Record & Performance	Methodology	Target (Optional)
1.3 Percentage with known origin - Report on key elements of the supply chain including: soy footprint and known upstream actors	Yes *70% in total:* • *33% known origin (non-risk)* • *37% known origin (risk)*	Yes *84.9% in total:* • *32.9% known origin (non-risk)* • *52.0% known origin (risk)*	Considers the ratio, in tonnes, of direct and embedded soy with non-risk known origin compared to total direct and embedded soy present in Private Brand and perishable products.	
1.4 Percentage from high-risk origins or unknown origins	Yes *67% in total:* • *37% known origin (risk)* • *30% unknown origin*	Yes *67.1% in total:* • *52.0% known origin (risk)* • *15.1% unknown origin*	Considers the ratio, in tonnes, of direct and embedded soy present in Private Brand and perishable products sourced from known risk origins (Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Paraguay, Uruguay) compared to total tonnes of soy present in these products.	
1.5 Progress on ensuring soy is conversion-free for high-risk origins	Yes – narrative reporting	Yes *12% of the direct and embedded soy used in Private Brand and perishable products had a sustainability certification of which 65% is physical certification*	Considers the ratio, in tonnes, of physically certified soy present – directly and embedded – in Private Brand and perishable products compared to total tonnes of soy present in these products.	
1.6 Percentage DCF supply from high-risk areas	Yes	Yes – narrative reporting	*Methodology not available*	

KPI	2021 Reporting Record & Performance	2022 Reporting Record & Performance	Methodology	Target (Optional)
1.7 Soy footprint across all product categories	Yes *431,135 tonnes*	Yes *485,675 tonnes*	Soy footprint includes direct and indirect soy present in Private Brand and perishable products sold in the Group's Companies. Footprint is measured in accordance with	
1.8 Methodology for soy footprint calculation	Yes	Yes	The JM soy footprint is measured according to the CGF's Soy Measurement Guidelines (2016) and includes all five tiers of the measurement ladder.	
Element 2 (Suppliers and Traders) Key Performance Indicators for Retailers				
2.2 Summary of Forest Positive Approach for suppliers and traders	Yes	Yes		

KPI	2021 Reporting Record & Performance	2022 Reporting Record & Performance	Methodology	Target (Optional)
2.3 Percentage of Tier 1 suppliers to whom the Forest Positive Approach and its implementation have been communicated	Yes – narrative reporting	Yes Suppliers that represent 80% of the Group's soy footprint.	In addition to engaging with 100% of our suppliers of Private Brand and perishable products containing soy to map its presence, existing certification systems and origins, at least to country level, we engaged suppliers that represent 80% of our soy (direct and indirect) footprint in tonnes. This interaction aimed to identify their main sources of supply, importers, and increase traceability to the regional level for origins with risk, as well as information requests on their deforestation policy and certification schemes while sharing our own commitments in fighting deforestation and conversion.	
2.4 Performance of Tier 1 suppliers against Forest Positive Approach including progress on delivery across entire operations	N/A	Yes – narrative reporting	*Methodology not available*	
2.5 List of identified major upstream suppliers	Not reporting yet	Not reporting yet	*Methodology not available*	

KPI	2021 Reporting Record & Performance	2022 Reporting Record & Performance	Methodology	Target (Optional)
2.6 Upstream suppliers/ traders sourcing from high-risk origins that have been engaged (directly or via collective approach) and are being evaluated	Yes – narrative reporting	Yes *6 traders that represent at least 35% of the Group's soy footprint*	Number of traders associated with the Group's soy footprint that have been engaged via collective approach (Soy Transparency Coalition).	
2.7 Performance of upstream suppliers/traders against the elements of the Forest Positive Approach including progress on delivery across entire soy business	Not reporting yet	Yes *Four of these traders showed a moderate performance (rating between 30% to 70% on a scale from 0% to 100%) and two a poor performance (less than 30%)"*	Overall traders' performance in the Soy Transparency Coalition assessment as evaluated by the FPC.	
Paper, Pulp, and Fibre-based Packaging				
Element 1 (Own Supply) Key Performance Indicators for Retailers				
1.1 PPP Sourcing Policy including commitment to the forest positive goals	Yes	Yes		
1.2 Timebound action plan summary	Yes	Yes		
1.3 Percentage recycled, percentage virgin fibre	Yes *70% recycled*	Yes *81% recycled*	Considers total tonnes of recycled fibers present in Private Brand and perishables packaging and products compared to total fibers present in these products and packaging.	

1.4 Percentage of virgin supply certified, and percentage per scheme and chain of custody model	Yes	Yes	Considers total tonnes of certified virgin fibers, total and per certification scheme, present in Private Brand and perishables packaging and products compared to total virgin fibers present in these products and packaging.	
	65% of fibres certified in packaging, of which: • *1.9% FSC Mix* • *0.9% FSC Forest Management* • *5.2% FSC Controlled wood* • *91.4% FSC Chain of Custody* • *0.3% PEFC Sustainable Forest Management* • *0.3% PEFC Chain of Custody* *65% certified fibres in products, of which:* • *66% FSC Mix* • *4% FSC Chain of Custody* • *3% FSC Controlled wood* • *0.0003% FSC 100%* • *27% PEFC Chain of Custody*	*70% of fibres certified in packaging, of which:* • *43.6% FSC Mix* • *29.4% FSC 100%* • *16.8% FSC Forest Management* • *6.5% FSC Controlled wood* • *2.5% FSC Chain of Custody* • *1.1% PEFC Sustainable Forest Management* • *0.1% PEFC Chain of Custody* *81% certified fibres in products, of which:* • *70.0% FSC Mix* • *28.0% FSC Chain of Custody* • *1.1% FSC Controlled wood* • *0.9% FSC 100%*		

1.5 Percentage of supply from high-priority sources	Yes • *2% of fibres in packaging from countries at risk of deforestation* • *0.6% of fibres in own brand products from countries at risk of deforestation*	Yes • *14% of fibres in packaging* • *0.4% of fibres in own brand products*	Considers total tonnes of virgin fibers from high priority sources present in Private Brand and perishables packaging and products compared to total virgin fibers present in these products and packaging. High priority sources are based on CGF's PPP Guidelines (2013).	
1.6 Actions being taken for supply from high-priority sources	Yes • *Of the 2% fibres in packaging from countries at risk of deforestation, more than 92% have FSC or PEFC certification.* • *Of the 0.6% fibres in own brand products from countries at risk of deforestation, more than 98% present certificates that ensure their origin from sustainably managed forests, such as FSC or PEFC.*	Yes • *Of the 14% virgin fibres in packaging from countries where there is a high risk of controversial sources, 96% of these virgin fibres came from sustainably managed forests and were FSC or PEFC certified* • *Of the 0.4% virgin fibres in own brand products from countries with a high risk of controversial sources, 99% had FSC or PEFC sustainability certification*		

Element 2 (Suppliers and Traders) Key Performance Indicators for Retailers				
2.1 Proportion of suppliers informed about the Forest Positive Suppliers Approach	N/A	Yes *80%*	In addition to engaging with 100% of our suppliers of Private Brand and perishable products and packaging containing paper/pulp fibers to map its presence, existing certification systems and origins, at least to country level, we engaged suppliers that represent 80% of our virgin fiber footprint in tonnes. This interaction aimed to identify their main sources of supply, importers, and increase traceability to the regional level for high-priority sources, as well as information requests on their deforestation policy and certification schemes while sharing our own commitments in fighting deforestation and conversion.	
2.2 Number or proportion of suppliers identified as priority for engagement, and percentage engaged	N/A	Yes – narrative reporting	*Methodology not available*	
2.3 Performance of engaged suppliers and changes over time including progress on delivery across entire business	N/A	Yes – narrative reporting	*Methodology not available*	

Beef				
Element 1 (Own Supply) Key Performance Indicators for Retailers				
1.1 Policy commitments to the forest positive goals	N/A	Yes		
1.2 Timebound action plan summary	N/A	Yes		
1.3 Beef footprint across all product categories	N/A	Yes 42,922 tonnes	Figure refers to total amount of beef present, as an ingredient, in the Group's Private Brand and perishable products.	
1.4 Percentage with known origin	N/A	Yes • *100% of the total cattle products purchased with known origin* • *0.5% of the total beef sourced came from risk level (Brazil) (over 90% traced to slaughterhouse level)*	Considers the ratio, in tonnes, of beef with known origin present in Private Brand and perishable products compared to total tonnes of beef present in these products. Considers the ratio, in tonnes, of beef with known high-risk origin present in Private Brand and perishable products compared to total tonnes of beef present in these products.	
1.5 Progress on ensuring beef is free from deforestation, conversion, and conflict for high-risk areas	N/A	Yes – narrative reporting	*Methodology not available*	
Element 2 (Suppliers and Traders) Key Performance Indicators for Retailers				
2.1 Direct supplier list of identified major upstream suppliers, up to slaughterhouse when possible	N/A	Not reporting yet	*Methodology not available*	

2.2 A summary of the Forest Positive Approach for meatpackers and own brand manufacturers	N/A	Yes		
2.3 Tier 1 suppliers to whom the Forest Positive Approach and its implementation have been communicated	N/A	Yes *80%*	In addition to engaging with 100% of our suppliers of Private Brand and perishable products containing beef to map its presence and origins, at least to country level, we engaged suppliers that represent 80% of our beef footprint in tonnes. This interaction aimed to identify their main sources of supply, importers, and increase traceability to the regional level for high-risk origins, as well as information requests on their deforestation policy and certification schemes while sharing our own commitments in fighting deforestation and conversion.	
2.4 Performance of Tier 1 suppliers against Forest Positive Approach including progress on delivery across entire operations	N/A	Yes – narrative reporting	*Methodology not available*	
2.5 Meatpackers sourcing from high-risk origins that have been engaged and are being evaluated	N/A	Yes	Meatpackers that received the assessment surveys through the FP CoA	

2.6 Performance of meatpackers against Forest Positive Approach including progress on delivery across entire operations	N/A	Yes – narrative reporting	*Methodology not available*	

Element 4 (Landscape Engagement) Key Performance Indicators | As of September 2022, Sainsbury's is focusing landscape engagement on palm oil and soy.

KPI	2021 Reporting Record & Performance	2022 Reporting Record & Performance	Methodology	Target (Optional)
Soy				
4.1 Priority production landscapes identified	Yes	Yes	*See KPI 4.2*	
4.2 Methodology used to identify priority production landscapes	N/A	Yes		
4.3 Number of landscape initiatives currently involved in	N/A	Yes *1 initiative*	Number of landscape initiatives currently engaged in under the scope of the Forest Positive Coalition of Action.	
4.4 For each landscape initiative your company is currently engaged in, information on: ● Name, location, timeline and other partners involved ● Report on type of engagement (e.g. disbursed financial support, in-kind support, capacity, preferential sourcing) ● Specific actions or projects that are supported ● How the actions intend to address systemic issues and	N/A	Yes		

contribute to delivering forest positive goals (at least one of conservation, restoration, positive inclusion of farmers and communities, landscape level multi-stakeholder platforms or partnerships) • Linkages to shared landscape-level goals developed through multistakeholder processes				