

The Consumer Goods Forum – NZ Coalition

ESG Reporting Summary May 2024

69

Net Zero





BOSTON CONSULTING GROUP



Executive summary

- Increased importance of **policies and regulations in shaping the ESG space** and driving global agenda
- Shift from previously voluntary towards mandatory ESG disclosure requirements to address transparency needs of stakeholder groups
- Continuous extension of scope to ensure full coverage of entire ESG spectrum but continued prevalence of climate
- Recommendations of Task-Force for Climate Related Disclosure (TCFD) emerged as global baseline for climate disclosure
- Standard setters (such as ISSB or EFRAG) leverage TCFD as a backbone to formulate their customized requirements
- Hence, suggestion to use TCFD's key pillars on 1) Governance, 2) Strategy, 3) Risk Management and 4) Metrics & Targets to prepare for climate disclosure

Rapidly growing ESG requirements....



....with consequences in case of non-compliance

63

Net

- Regulatory penalties, e.g., fines like 2% of annual global turnover and civil liability of management positions
- **Restricted access to capital**, e.g., via regulatory restrictions on banks or through banks internal ESG criteria
- **!** Complication of business relationships e.g., ESG criteria or demands for self-commitments

Reputational damage triggering loss of e.g., demand, advertising contracts, partnerships, brand and stock value

BCG



Shift from voluntary towards mandatory ESG disclosure though requirements differ by geography

		Offsets	Companies (BSE, SSE, SZHE)	sustainability disclosure	Sustainability Reporting (BRSR)
	\checkmark				
tainability	Climate Change	Climate Change	Sustainability	Climate Change	Sustainability
	\checkmark			\checkmark	
Ø			's		Ø
st use ESRS	No standards referenced	No standards referenced	No standards referenced	ISSB transitioning to SSBJ	No standards referenced
50,000	3,300+	10,000+	450	4,000	1,000
2025	2026	2026	2026	TBD	2023
\$16.8T	\$25.4T	\$3.6T	\$18T	\$4.3T	\$3.4T
	st use ESRS 50,000 2025	No standards st use ESRS No standards referenced 50,000 3,300+ 2025 2026 \$16.8T \$25.4T	Not clearly stated but leans towards broader materiality definitionSt use ESRSNo standards referencedNo standards referenced50,0003,300+10,000+202520262026\$16.8T\$25.4T\$3.6T	Not clearly stated but leans towards broader materiality definitionImage: Coloration of the	Not clearly stated but leans towards broader materiality definitionISSB transitioning to SSB transitioning to SSBJst use ESRSNo standards referencedNo standards referencedNo standards referencedISSB transitioning to SSBJ50,0003,300+10,000+4504,0002025202620262026TBD\$16.8T\$25.4T\$3.6T\$18T\$4.3T

Source: 2022 GDP from World Bank (in USD) 1. Phased over time, depending on company size and type (e.g. listed or not) 2. The double materiality assessment involves reporting from two angles: how ESG-related issues affect the company; and how the company's own actions affect people and the environment.



Standard setters have been leveraging TCFD as a backbone to formulate their customized requirements

TCFD

In effect in some jurisdictions (UK, Canada, Singapore) & in proposal stage in others (Australia, Hong Kong)



TCFD aligned regulations proposed by over 35 jurisdictions, requiring disclosures across all the four pillars of TCFD framework– Governance, Strategy, Risk Management and Metric & Target.

European Union CSRD & ESRS

CSRD: in effect from 2024 (first report in early 2025), ESRS: final standards draft adopted by EC in July '23



CSRD defines a uniform sustainable disclosure standard in accordance with Taxonomy Regulation under which SMEs and listed companies must disclose information- for ESG reporting as per ESRS standards and following the "double materiality" principle ISSB - IFRS Sustainability Standards (S1 & S2) Final standards published

S1 covers disclosure about sustainability risks and opportunities across an entity's value chain

S2 covers climate risks and opportunities on the entity's enterprise value and strategy to manage and mitigate risks SEC (Enhancement & Standardization of Climate-Related Disclosures)

Released in Mar. '24, phased-in implementation from FY 2026 (with 2025 data)

teres Enhancement and Stondowitation of Climate-Related Disclosures
Subjects and increase interpretation approximation and approximation approximatio
Exclusion: The second
Contract of the Regional Obligation Programmer are an encoded a support to determine structure and - The advects and produced a support to the support to the support of th



SEC require US public companies and foreign private issuers to make climate-disclosures in their SEC periodic reports and registration statements – closely following TCFD. Applies to all SEC reporting

companies, even those with no publicly listed equity securities

Non-exhaustive

China Sustainability Reporting Guidelines

Proposed in Feb. '24, Expected implementation from FY 2025

2 3 3 17 8 X 5 17	A	
Addit canaditation		
Notice on the public collimption of calls	Applies or paint initializes of policies on the " protocol of united to respondences of the transmission	#100001242 #000001-14(7#X)
Hand State of the second secon	A CARLES & CARLES AND A CARLES	

Guidelines released by Chinese stock exchanges require listed companies, requiring larger-cap and dual-listed issuers to begin mandatory disclosure on a broad range of ESG topics inluding TCFD aligned climate disclsoures



TCFD emerged as the de facto standard for climate disclosure globally

Non-exhaustive



TCFD released climate-related financial disclosure recommendations in 2017. ISSB released S1 and S2 built on TCFD. Since 2024 FSB handed over the responsibility of monitoring of companies' progress on climate disclosures to ISSB

Governance	Strategy	Risk management	Metrics and targets	Ne
Disclose governance around climate- related risks and opportunities	Disclose climate resilient strategies (also for a 2°C scenario), incl. quantified impacts of climate risks and opportunities	Disclose process for identification, assessment, and management of climate-related risks	Disclose metrics and targets relevant to climate-related risks and opportunities, incl. GHG emissions	Euro

Disclosing along TCFD encourages businesses to consider climate (risk) comprehensively;

Decision-processes, actual strategies and business targets potentially need adjustment

TCFD aligned disclosures are becoming a legal requirement in

ew Zealand

many countries:

Financial Sector (Climaterelated) Amendment Bill



Corporate Sustainability ropean Union Reporting Directive



USA

Proposed Rules to Enhance and Standardize Climate-**Related Disclosures**

... many others



Zoom: Climate regulations largely based on TCFD framework with additional conditions on Scope 3, scenario analysis disclosures

			Key differences of other c	limate regulations vs TCFD	
Reporting themes	TCFD Disclosures	EU CSRD-ESRS E1	ISSB ¹ S2	SEC Climate Rules	Sust. Reporting Guidelines
Gover- nance	Board oversight, management (mgt.) role	Broadly consistent with TCFD	 How climate R&O are reflected in company's terms of reference 	 Climate-expertise of board and mgt. 'Climate opportunities' not mandatory 	 Disclosure for both sustainability and climate governance
Strategy	Climate-related risks and opportunities (R&O) Impacts on businesses, strategy, financial planning Climate resilience	 No conditions on reporting of scenario analysis Disclosure of the entities value chain Disclosure on use of COs Offsets and Carbon Credits 	 No conditions on scenario analysis reporting Disclosure of carbon offsets (CO) and third-party verification 	 Scenario analysis conditional disclosure of financial impact assessment under each scenario Disclosure of COs, Renewable Energy Credits (REC) 	 No conditions on reporting of scenario analysis Disclosure for emission reduction mechanism, measures; does not specify COs and RECs
Risk management	Process of identifying and managing climate related risks How processes are integrated in overall risk mgt.	 Broadly consistent with TCFD framework Integration of sustainability- related performance in incentive schemes 	 Additional disclosures like input parameters for risk analysis, scenario analysis and opportunities assessment 	 How customer/counterparty preferences, changes in tech and market prices are considered in assessing potential transition risks Use of transition plans for climate risk management 	 Broadly consistent with TCFD framework
Metrics and Targets	GHG emissions (Scope 1,2, 3), GHG intensity and related risks Metrics for climate-related R&Os Climate related Targets and performance tracking	 Scope 3 required Attestation requirement for all emissions Disclosure of GHG intensity is mandatory Requires disclosures of climate related targets 	 Scope 3 required No attestation requirements Industry-specific metrics Scope 1 and 2 for both group and non-consolidated entities Disclosure on whether targets were set using sec. decarb. approach 	 Scope 3 not required GHG intensity not required Attestation required for Scope 1&2 Targets disclosure required if financial material to entity 	 Scope 3 optional GHG Intensity not required Attestation optional Disclosure on emission reduction targets and their effectiveness

1. ISSB standards are being adopted in UK, Brazil, Mexico, Canada, China, Singapore, Hong Kong, Japan, Singapore, Taiwan, Malaysia, Australia, New Zealand Source: TCFD, ISSB S2; ESRS; US SEC Climate Disclosure Rules ; China Sustainability Reporting Guidelines; BCG analysis







Key facts

Taskforce on Climate related Financial Disclosures (TCFD)

Level:	International
Final type of document:	Recommendations by the Taskforce
Parties addressed:	All entities globally
Author:	Financial Stability Board
ESG risks addressed:	ESG

- In April 2015, the FSB established an industry-led Taskforce, the TCFD to develop voluntary climate-related financial disclosures that would be useful to investors and others in understanding material risks the final recommendations were released in June 2017
- The regulation has since been **adopted by 8+ jurisdictions** including EU, UK, Switzerland, Hong Kong, Singapore, Japan, New Zealand, Canada and Brazil
- The implementation roadmap calls for increased disclosure and standardization as expectations mature
- It recommends creating multiple climate scenarios and disclosing the inputs into these scenarios covering parameters and assumptions, analytical choices and business impact

TCFD disclosures have four key components

Governance

• Disclose the organization's governance around climate related risks and opportunities

Strategy

• Disclose the actual and potential impacts of climate-related risks and opportunities on the organization's businesses, strategy, and financial planning where such information is material

Risk management

• Disclose how the organization identifies, assesses, and manages climate-related risks

Metrics/targets

• Disclose the metrics and targets used to assess and manage relevant climate-related risks and opportunities where such information is material







Key facts

Content



- CSRD replaces the existing NFRD¹ by requiring more detailed ESG data for disclosure and covering more companies
- Will come into effect from 2025 onwards (data for FY 2024)
- Aim: data availability improvement re. sustainability risks for companies as well as their activities' effects on the environment
- Reporting according to the new European Sustainability Reporting Standards (ESRS) general and sector specific standards
- Based on the principle of "double materiality"² •
- Mandatory to disclose in Management Report within annual report
- For firms already covered by EU NFRD¹, mandatory reporting from 2025 (for FY 2024); all other eligible EU firms from 2026 (for FY 2025), eligible non-EU companies to report from 2029 (for FYs starting in 2028)
- Companies affected
 - All corporate entities in the EU, meeting at least 2 the following criteria: €20M+ assets; €40M+ turnover,; €250+ employees
 - From FY 2028: Non-EU companies with €150 M+ in EU turnover and 1+ EU subsidiary/branch
- The additional reporting requirements under CSRD include the following:
 - New provisions on companies' strategy, targets and engagement of board and management, including information on the resilience towards different climate-related scenarios
 - Disclosures on the main adverse impacts linked to the company and their value chain, as well as the process to identify the reported information
 - Information on intangibles including social, human and intellectual capital
 - Inclusion of forward-looking and retrospective information, covering different time-horizons
 - Alignment towards Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation (SFDR) and the EU Taxonomy Regulation
- Requires the audit (assurance)³ of reported information
- Companies must digitally 'tag' the reported information to make it machine readable and feed into the European single access point

1. Non-Financial Reporting Directive; 2. Companies shall disclose information on how sustainability matters affect them as 8 well as how the company impacts society and the environment; 3. Audit will require limited assurance once CSRD starts to be effective and aims for reasonable assurance by 2028 (same assurance as for financial information)

Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD)

EU Level: Final type of **Final regulation** document: **Parties** Non-financial and addressed: financial undertakings Author:

EU Commission



E S G



Zoom: European Union's CSRD/ESRS amongst the most ambitious ESG disclosure standards given breadth and depth of requirements...

	DR opic areas from Type ¹ /ESRS	Governance	Strategy	IRO ³ mgnt. (incl. Policies, Processes, Actions)	Metrics	General disclosures	Targets	(Sample of DR per topic, not exhaustive)	DR Metrics ²
	Climate change	V		V		×	V	 Gross Scopes 1-3 GHG Emissions GHG removals and mitigation 	5/12
	Pollution	\bigotimes	\bigotimes	V		\bigotimes	V	Pollution of air, water and soil	3/7
E	Water & marine resources	\mathbf{X}	\mathbf{x}	V	\checkmark	\mathbf{x}	\checkmark	Water consumption	2/6
	Biodiversity & ecosystems	$\mathbf{\bigotimes}$		V		$\mathbf{\mathbf{x}}$		 Impact metrics related to biodiversity and ecosystems 	2/8
	Resource use & circular economy	\mathbf{x}	\mathbf{x}	V		\mathbf{x}		Resource outflowsResource inflows	3/7
	Own workforce	$\mathbf{\mathbf{x}}$		V		$\mathbf{\otimes}$		Social protectionHealth and safety metrics	12/19
	Workers in the value chain	\mathbf{x}		V	\mathbf{x}	\mathbf{x}	\checkmark	Policies related to value chain workers	-/7
S	Affected communities	$\mathbf{\bigotimes}$			\bigotimes	\mathbf{x}		 Processes for engaging with affected communities about impacts 	-/7
	Consumers & end-users	\bigotimes			×	×		Interests and views of stakeholders	-/7
G	Business conduct					$\mathbf{\bigotimes}$	\mathbf{x}	Payment practices	3/8
GD	ESRS 2	\checkmark		V	$\mathbf{\bigotimes}$	\checkmark	\bigotimes	Statement on due diligence	-/12
(Disclosure requirement (DR) required 😣 DI	R not required							# DR Metrics / Total DR

1. The overview shown represents a rough classification of Disclosure Requirements - within the categories, individual DRs can vary per topics; 2.CSRD/ESRS contains 100 DRs of which 30 DRs of metrics type can be scoped out of reporting requirement through the information materiality filter in addition to the materiality filtering; 3. Impacts, Risks and Opportunities management; ESRS = European Sustainability Reporting Standards; CSRD = Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive; DR = Disclosure Requirements; Source: BCG analysis



Leve

Final

docu

Parti

addr

Auth

ESG I

addr

IFRS S2 Climate Disclosure Standard

l:	International
type of ment:	Reporting Standard
es essed:	All organizations
or:	International Sustainability Standards Board (ISSB)
risks essed:	ESG



Key facts

- Climate-related risks the entity is exposed to, including but not restricted to:
 - physical risks from climate change
 - risks associated with the transition to a lower-carbon economy
- Climate-related opportunities available to the entity.

Key elements of the recommended disclosure under TCFD include the following:

Governance

• Understand the governance processes, controls and procedures used to monitor and manage -related risks and opportunities

Strategy

 Actual and potential impacts of climate-related opportunities and risks on business model, strategy, cash flows and cost of capital

Risk management

• Processes used to identify, assess and manage climate-related risks

Metrics/targets

• Metrics/targets used to measure, monitor and manage relevant climate-related opportunities and risks

Net ECG Zero

Objectives:

- to assess the effects of significant climate-related risks and opportunities on the entity's enterprise value;
- to understand how the entity's use of resources, and corresponding inputs, activities, outputs and outcomes support the entity's response to and strategy for managing its significant climate-related risks and opportunities
- to evaluate the entity's ability to adapt its planning, business model and operations to significant climate-related risks and opportunities

Scope:









Key facts

Enhancement and Standardization of Climate-Related Disclosures

Level:	EU
Final type of document:	Regulation
Parties addressed:	All entities reporting to SEC
Author:	US SEC
ESG risks addressed:	E S G



• Final regulation adopted on March 2024

- Requires **U.S. public companies and foreign private issuers** to present detailed climate disclosures in their SEC periodic reports and registration statements
- Applies to all SEC reporting companies and also those with no publicly listed equity securities
- Based largely on TCFD disclosure framework, Scope 3 disclosures not required
- **Compliance would be phased in**, with reporting for large accelerated filers beginning in FY 2025, followed by accelerated and non-accelerated filers in 2026 and smaller reporting and emerging growth companies in 2027

Key provisions of the rule include the following:

- **Disclosure of GHG emissions** (both in absolute terms, not including offsets, and in terms of intensity in relation to business scale) that cover Scope 1, Scope 2 and -if material or if the company has set targets or goals
- Third-party attestation for Scope 1 and Scope 2 GHG emissions disclosures
- Disclosure of climate transition plan, internal carbon price, climate targets or goals adopted by the company, and progress against such plan, targets and goals
- Disclosure of **climate-related risks** over the short, medium and long term and their impacts on business activities
- Disclosure of **qualitative and quantitative climate risk** and historical impact in the notes to a company's audited financial statements, disclosure to be presented if the aggregated impact is 1% or more of the total line item
- Disclosure of corporate governance of climate-related risks and risk management processes

C ABREAL	hand page hery buildings	Alternational process
Public consultation		10 mm
	Companying Constant Companying Const	

Sustainability Reporting Guidelines

China

E S G

Final type of document:

Level:

Draft Guidelines

Parties addressed:

Listed Companies

Author:

Shanghai, Shenzhen, and Beijing Stock Exchanges

ESG risks addressed: anies



Key facts



- Draft guidelines released on February 2024 by all the three exchanges individually
- Beginning in 2026, large listed companies on these exchanges to report FY 2025 sustainability data on a mandatory basis
- Reporting is mandatory for companies listed on the SSE 180, STAR 50, SZSE 100, and ChiNext indices, as well as those listed both in China and overseas, are mandated to report
- Reporting is voluntary for companies listed on Beijing Stock Exchange (houses SMEs)
- Likely to affect around 500 companies (representing 50% of listed market value)
- Recommends "double materiality" approach
- Requires disclosure on Scope 1, 2 GHG emissions. Scope 3 disclosure optional
- Reporting requirements encompass four "core content" topics, i.e. governance, strategy, impact, risk & opportunity management, and indicators & goals
- ESG disclosures cover climate change, ecosystem, biodiversity, circular economy, energy use, supply chain security, rural revitalization, anti-corruption and bribery'
- Recommends climate scenario analysis and third-party verification on reported data

Content



3 step-approach to set up for ESG Sustainability reporting

Zoom next slide

Double Materiality Assessment

for relevant frameworks, e.g. CSRD, Sustainability Reporting Guidelines, BRSR

Data-driven approach involves:

- Identifying relevant ESG themes
- Conducting materiality surveys and stakeholder interviews, for a preliminary framing of the materiality matrix
- Analyzing internal data and benchmark of peers
- **Refining material topics** to produce the final report
- Assessment is an opportunity to capture stakeholders' perspectives on ESG
- Findings should inform the implementation roadmap & broader strategies, and help identify future investment areas

Gap analysis

to assess disclosure readiness and develop target picture

- Examine latest sustainability report against future requirements to identify reporting gaps and verify data availability (incl. through systematic KPI analysis)
- Enforce measures to address potential issues, incl. ESG reporting system solutions, and the design of a future governance model

Implementation

based on a roadmap and matrix project organization

- A phased approach is recommended; given regulatory uncertainties and potential updates:
 - First phase targets existing, mandatory KPIs for initial reporting
 - Second phase, for optional KPIs (e.g., three-year phase-in covering value chain KPIs for CSRD)
- While initial phases might require manual administration for timely reporting, the next phases should rely on an automated process



Zoom on Double materiality matrix Methodology: Matrix should cover the entire value chain and by fed by surveys, interviews and data analysis

		Illustrative - number of surveys	and data coding methodology can vary
Inputs	Calculations & Weighting	(((()))) Intermediate output	Final output
Illustrative inputs			
 Internal Stakeholder 1 Financial impact of ESG on Company External impact of Company 	Materiality Matrix Model Survey data coded numerically 1- 	Impact to the Business scoring (Y-Axis) Score measuring the impact that ESG topics have on company's 	Double Materiality Matrix
Internal Stakeholder 2 External impact of Company 	 5 with a composite impact score created for each question by stakeholder Weighting mechanism applied to create average score for each 	financial performance and operations External impact scoring (X-Axis)	 Visualization capturing the ESG topics that have the highest business impact as well as external impact, used to inform strategy setting Functionality built in to review
External Stakeholders External impact of Company 	factors with distinct weights applied to each stakeholder type	 Score measuring the external impact that company has on its environment, people, and the economy via ESG topics 	stakeholder group matrices
Interviews runn	ing concurrently to inform final matrix c	output and insights	

Double Materiality Assessment should cover entire value chain and leverage both internal data + benchmark of peers



5 elements...



Setting targets



Leveraging opportunities



Establishing governance structures



Adopting a portfolio perspective



Expanding data capabilities

...to enable successful ESG Reporting

- Develop **comprehensive KPIs** encompassing environmental, social, and governance aspects
- Start with existing ESG targets as mandated by regulation in place
- Anticipate expected regulation evolution, including in respect to carbon accounting
- View regulation as an opportunity to expand business beyond compliance
- Use systematic data analysis to uncover new business opportunities
- Develop **platform ecosystems** for better customer engagement and integration of innovative solutions
- Establish robust governance structures to institutionalize ESG reporting
- Foster comprehensive ESG coverage and compliance through a unified organizational approach
- Streamline reporting and enhance accuracy with integrated IT support
- Adopt a value chain approach
- Comply with regulatory frameworks and directives requiring broad sustainability assessments
- Prepare early for complex data and methodological demands of expanded ESG criteria
- Enhance data capabilities to meet requirements effectively
- Establish a clear ESG reporting framework to address upcoming obligations
- Integrate tool-based solutions for managing complex and dispersed data points

Disclaimer

The services and materials provided by Boston Consulting Group (BCG) are subject to BCG's Standard Terms (a copy of which is available upon request) or such other agreement as may have been previously executed by BCG. BCG does not provide legal, accounting, or tax advice. The Client is responsible for obtaining independent advice concerning these matters. This advice may affect the guidance given by BCG. Further, BCG has made no undertaking to update these materials after the date hereof, notwithstanding that such information may become outdated or inaccurate.

The materials contained in this presentation are designed for the sole use by the board of directors or senior management of the Client and solely for the limited purposes described in the presentation. The materials shall not be copied or given to any person or entity other than the Client ("Third Party") without the prior written consent of BCG. These materials serve only as the focus for discussion; they are incomplete without the accompanying oral commentary and may not be relied on as a stand-alone document. Further, Third Parties may not, and it is unreasonable for any Third Party to, rely on these materials for any purpose whatsoever. To the fullest extent permitted by law (and except to the extent otherwise agreed in a signed writing by BCG), BCG shall have no liability whatsoever to any Third Party, and any Third Party hereby waives any rights and claims it may have at any time against BCG with regard to the services, this presentation, or other materials, including the accuracy or completeness thereof. Receipt and review of this document shall be deemed agreement with and consideration for the foregoing.

BCG does not provide fairness opinions or valuations of market transactions, and these materials should not be relied on or construed as such. Further, the financial evaluations, projected market and financial information, and conclusions contained in these materials are based upon standard valuation methodologies, are not definitive forecasts, and are not guaranteed by BCG. BCG has used public and/or confidential data and assumptions provided to BCG by the Client. BCG has not independently verified the data and assumptions used in these analyses. Changes in the underlying data or operating assumptions will clearly impact the analyses and conclusions.

Thank you